EURO-EURASIA ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & EDUCATION FOUNDATION
Registration No: 17247709 · Registered Non-Profit Entity: England & Wales
Strategic Nexus: London, United Kingdom · Almaty, Kazakhstan
research@EEESEF.org · www.EEESEF.org

Document Reference: EEESEF-WP-2026-001
Publication Date: June 3, 2026
Security Classification: PUBLIC RELEASE — Institutional Distribution Allowed
Subject Pillars: Industrial Ecology · Secondary Hydrocarbon Recovery · Circular Economy Policy

EEESEF - WHITE PAPER SERIES

Closed-Loop Solutions for Industrial Mineral Oil Regeneration in Central Asia

A High-Yield Circular Economy Blueprint

Authorship: Gelu Negreanu, Founder & Chairman - EEESEF

Published by: Euro-Eurasia Environmental Science & Education Foundation (EEESEF)
Classification: Public Release — For Academic, Regulatory, and Institutional Reference

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary & Regional Context

2. The Scale of the Waste Mineral Oil Deficit

3. Comparative Analysis of Global Recycling Methodologies

4. Technical Specification: Field-Enhanced Cascade Vacuum Distillation

5. Environmental Performance and Zero-Pollution Compliance

6. Regulatory Alignments & Policy Recommendations

References

1. Executive Summary & Regional Context

Petroleum-based lubricants are essential inputs across heavy industry, freight transport, extraction, and agriculture. As these sectors expand, they generate increasing volumes of spent mineral oils that require structured recovery systems. When formal collection is weak, this material is often handled as a disposal problem rather than a recoverable secondary resource. [1][2]

This white paper presents a practical blueprint for closing that gap. It argues that Central Asian markets can reduce ecological risk, capture secondary material value, and strengthen industrial resilience by building modern re-refining capacity around advanced physical separation technologies.

1.1 The Central Asian Industrial Ecology Challenge

The current regional management of industrial lubricants reflects a structural contradiction. On one side, large quantities of spent oil are generated each year; on the other, formal collection and reprocessing systems remain limited in scale and reach. [6][8]

As a result, waste oil may enter low-efficiency heating uses, non-compliant disposal channels, or informal trade networks. This weakens environmental protection and prevents the region from recovering value from a resource that could otherwise be reprocessed into base stocks.

1.2 The Dual-Sided Resource Dilemma

The same material that creates pollution risk also contains recoverable value. Used oils can retain significant hydrocarbon content after degradation, making them suitable for regeneration when properly collected and treated. The current regional management of industrial lubricants presents a significant structural contradiction with two reinforcing vectors:

VECTOR A — Waste Exportation & Mismanagement

Thousands of tonnes of spent industrial lubricants are generated annually. A significant portion is either down-cycled into low-efficiency heating applications, dumped into non-compliant landfills, or exported as cheap, unrefined feedstock to international processors. This creates localised pollution risks and represents a major loss of secondary material wealth.

VECTOR B — Raw Material Importation Dependency

Concurrently, regional industrial operators remain almost entirely reliant on foreign imports to fulfil domestic demand for high-grade finished lubricants and refined base stocks. This structural dependency exposes critical supply chains to external price volatility, inflates operational expenditures, and conflicts with broader regional economic import-substitution policies.

At the same time, regional industry still relies heavily on imported refined lubricants and base stocks. That dependence increases exposure to price volatility and foreign supply constraints. [3][8]

1.3 Blueprint Objectives & Methodological Overview

This white paper has four objectives:

- First, it quantifies the scale of the waste oil deficit.
- Second, it evaluates alternative recovery pathways.
- Third, it specifies a physically based re-refining model suitable for regional deployment.
- Fourth, it proposes an institutional framework for scaling circular infrastructure.

The analysis draws on public technical sources, policy documents, and comparative reference projects. It is intended as an independent strategic framework for policymakers, researchers, and industrial stakeholders.

2. The Scale of the Waste Mineral Oil Deficit

Drawing on regional industrial data and standard lifecycle assumptions, EEESEF estimates a substantial gap between lubricant consumption and formal recovery. In the target Central Asian geography, annual consumption of industrial and automotive lubricants is estimated at 140,000 to 160,000 tonnes. [1][6]

Applying standard lifecycle loss assumptions, this implies a collectable used-oil baseline of approximately 98,000 to 112,000 tonnes per year. Yet formal capture remains far lower, leaving a large deficit to informal channels.

Metric	Value
Total Active Lubricant Consumption (TPA)	**140,000 – 160,000**
Degradation & Collection Factor	**~65% – 70%**
Total Collectable Spent Mineral Oil (TPA)	**98,000 – 112,000**
Current Regulated Processing Volume	**< 20%**
Unmanaged / Shadow Market Deficit (TPA)	**80,000+**

2.1 Generation Metrics vs. Formal Capture Rates

Available evidence suggests that regulated processors capture less than one-fifth of the recoverable volume in some regional settings. The remainder enters unmonitored streams, where traceability is weak and environmental controls are inconsistent. [6][7]

Despite active statutory frameworks requiring high collection targets, available evidence indicates that formal environmental service providers capture less than 20% of this volume for compliant reprocessing. This creates an urgent need for compliant infrastructure that can process spent oil at scale while meeting modern environmental standards.

2.2 Environmental and Socio-Economic Costs of the Shadow Market

The uncaptured volume contributes to atmospheric pollution, groundwater contamination, and economic value destruction. Informal combustion of waste oil can release heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and particulate matter into urban air. [4][8]

Improper dumping can contaminate soil and shallow groundwater for long periods. At the same time, selling spent oil into informal fuel channels destroys the possibility of converting that material into higher-value base stocks.

2.3 Physical and Chemical Degradation Drivers

Used lubricants degrade through contamination, water absorption, thermal decomposition, oxidation, and fuel dilution. These processes alter viscosity, increase acidity, and introduce sludge, metals, and carbonaceous residues. A regeneration system must therefore remove both volatile fractions and non-volatile contaminants while preserving the hydrocarbon core needed for re-refining. [5][8]

- **Contamination by External Mechanical Impurities** Inadequate seal integrity allows dust, silica, and ambient particulates to infiltrate the system, while internal mechanical wear adds microscopic metal particles directly into the fluid matrix.

- **Water Absorption and Emulsification** Thermal cycling and atmospheric moisture absorption introduce water into the lubricant loop, creating complex water-in-oil emulsions that degrade film strength and accelerate machinery corrosion.

- **Thermal Decomposition and Coking** Continuous exposure to high localised temperatures causes long-chain hydrocarbon molecules to crack, forming insoluble gums, resins, and carbonaceous coke particles that alter the viscosity of the fluid.

- **Chemical Oxidation** Ongoing exposure to ambient oxygen at elevated temperatures drives chemical oxidation, producing organic acids, ketones, and asphaltenes that increase the fluid's Total Acid Number and cause heavy sludge precipitation.

- **Fuel Dilution** In internal combustion engine configurations, unburned fuel fractions bypass piston seals and mix with lubricating oil, significantly reducing flash point and viscosity index.

3. Comparative Analysis of Global Recycling Methodologies

Legacy treatment methods often rely on acid-clay separation or other chemically intensive approaches. While such methods can be effective in limited contexts, they frequently generate secondary waste streams and create disposal challenges. [5][8]

More advanced options, including hydrogenation and membrane-based systems, can improve output quality but often require high capital expenditure, high operating complexity, or frequent maintenance.

3.1 Legacy Hydrocarbon Processing and Secondary Pollution Boundaries

The distillation-clay process and the acid-clay process can both remove contaminants, but each produces hazardous residues that require specialised handling. Acid tar, spent clay, and associated emissions can make these routes inconsistent with modern zero-pollution objectives. [5]

For this reason, many jurisdictions are shifting toward systems that minimise chemical reagents and secondary waste.

3.2 Technological Bottlenecks of Hydrogenation and Membrane Systems

Hydrogenation can produce high-quality oil, but it depends on expensive reactors, hydrogen supply systems, and catalyst management. Membrane ultrafiltration can also face fouling, throughput limits, and cleaning burdens when used with highly variable waste-oil feedstocks. [5][8]

These constraints make them harder to deploy in mid-scale regional markets unless capital and utility infrastructure are already mature.

3.3 Systemic Advantages of Advanced Physical Fractionation

Advanced physical fractionation, especially field-enhanced cascade vacuum distillation, provides a compelling alternative. It relies on thermodynamic separation rather than harsh chemical conversion, reducing the potential for secondary pollution. [5][8]

Because the process is based on pressure, temperature, and flow control, it can be adapted to a range of feedstock conditions while preserving the possibility of high-grade base oil recovery.

4. Technical Specification: Field-Enhanced Cascade Vacuum Distillation

The core processing package in this blueprint uses a continuous multistage thermal separation sequence. Each stage is designed to remove a different contaminant fraction while protecting the hydrocarbon structure of the usable oil. [5][8]

The result is a controlled recovery process that can produce stable base stocks with fewer secondary waste streams than older chemical methods.

4.1 Non-Chemical Separation and Process Principles

The system works by lowering boiling points through high vacuum, which allows separation at temperatures below the threshold where significant cracking occurs. That makes it possible to recover lighter and heavier fractions without excessive degradation. [5][8]

By avoiding strong acids and other hazardous reagents, the process also reduces the treatment burden associated with downstream waste management.

4.2 Multistage Processing Flow Architecture

The first stage preheats the feedstock and removes water and light volatiles. The second stage performs deep de-lighting and cuts mid-range compounds. The third stage uses high-vacuum wiped-film distillation to separate premium base-oil fractions from heavier residues. [5][8]

↓ FEEDSTOCK INTAKE: Raw Spent Mineral Oil ↓

STAGE 1 · *Preheating & De-Watering Tower*

Thermal exchange below 120°C. Separates entrained moisture and light volatile fuel fractions. Vaporised lights routed to quench cooler and overhead buffer tank.

STAGE 2 · *De-Lighting Tower*

Deep fraction cutting at approximately 260°C under moderate vacuum. Removes mid-tier volatile compounds and fuel diluents. Non-condensables routed through flame arrestors to heating furnace.

STAGE 3 · *Cascade Vacuum Distillation Tower*

High-vacuum separation at -0.099 MPa, maximum 320°C. Fractionates 150SN & 350SN base oils via inclined-wall wiped-film assemblies. Heavy asphaltic impurities blocked; clean fractions separated by boiling range.

→ RECOVERED BASE OILS (150SN & 350SN) → HEAVY BITUMEN RESIDUE (Infrastructure Co-Product)

This staged architecture improves product quality because each unit operation performs a specific task rather than trying to accomplish all separation in one step.

4.3 Mass Balance, Feedstock Tolerances, and Yield Optimisation

A representative 30,000-tonne-per-annum profile indicates overall recovery rates in the mid-70s to low-80s percentage range, depending on water and impurity content. The main outputs are 150SN and 350SN base oils, along with a heavy bituminous residue suitable for infrastructure applications. [5][8]

This type of mass balance suggests that used oil can be transformed from low-value waste into marketable industrial products when the process is tightly controlled.

5. Environmental Performance and Zero-Pollution Compliance

A compliant re-refining facility must manage air, water, and solid residues as an integrated system. The design goal is not only production efficiency but also the elimination of uncontrolled releases. [3][5]

That means capturing gases, recycling suitable process water, and routing stable residues into productive reuse pathways wherever possible.

5.1 Closed-Loop Atmospheric Emission Mitigation

Volatile organic compounds and non-condensable gases should be captured under negative pressure and routed through controlled treatment or combustion systems. If used as supplemental fuel, they must still be managed within a sealed emissions-control architecture. [5]

This approach reduces atmospheric release and helps the plant maintain a cleaner operating profile.

5.2 Wastewater Treatment and Effluent Management

Industrial wastewater from maintenance and washing should be isolated from stormwater and treated before reuse or discharge. Oil-water separation, sediment control, and retention basins are essential elements of this system. [5][6]

Segregating water streams helps prevent accidental contamination and supports regulatory compliance.

5.3 Solid Waste Elimination via Infrastructure Byproduct Co-Generation

Unlike acid-clay systems, advanced physical distillation can avoid hazardous solid tars and contaminated spent clay. The primary heavy residue can often be redirected to asphalt or bitumen applications, provided its properties meet specification. [5][8]

That creates a near-zero-landfill outcome and turns a byproduct into an infrastructure input.

6. Regulatory Alignments & Policy Recommendations

Several jurisdictions already recognise the value of higher collection rates and regeneration targets for used oils. The policy trend is toward traceability, extended producer responsibility, and higher-value circular recovery. [1][2][3]

For Central Asia, the practical challenge is not whether the policy logic exists; it is whether the industrial system can support compliance at scale.

6.1 Statutory Collection Targets and Regional Environmental Codes

Regional authorities can improve compliance by setting clear collection expectations, strengthening manifest systems, and aligning environmental codes with best available techniques. Kazakhstan's Environmental Code and related policy guidance already provide a foundation for stronger waste governance. [6][7]

The next step is to connect those legal tools to enforceable collection and reprocessing pathways.

6.2 Institutional Frameworks for Open Patenting and Regional Scaling

To accelerate adoption, EEESEF proposes an open regional research consortium involving technology providers, academic laboratories, and non-profit oversight bodies. This structure can support validation, local adaptation, and transparent governance. [1][8]

It can also lower barriers to deployment by reducing reliance on closed licensing models and creating a clearer route for local industrial scaling.

References

[1] European Commission. A new Circular Economy Action Plan for a cleaner and more competitive Europe, COM(2020) 98 final. Brussels, 11 March 2020. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex:52020DC0098

[2] European Commission. Circular Economy Action Plan. Publications Office of the European Union, 2020. https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/45cc30f6-cd57-11ea-adf7-01aa75ed71a1/language-en

[3] European Commission. Waste Framework Directive. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/waste-framework-directive_en

[4] European Commission. Waste oil — hazardous waste guidance and handling principles. https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/waste-and-recycling/waste-oil_en

[5] European Commission. Study on waste lubricant regeneration, 2023. Reports that regeneration generally outperforms energy recovery from a climate-change perspective and analyses higher regeneration targets. https://op.europa.eu/fr/publication-detail/-/publication/4e55cd7a-73a8-11ee-9220-01aa75ed71a1/language-en

[6] Environmental Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Law No. 400-VI ZRK). Official text and related English-language versions. https://adilet.zan.kz/eng/docs/K070000212_ and https://wecoop.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021-KZ-ENV-Code_full-text_en.pdf

[7] Kazakhstan Environmental Code and BAT guidance. https://www.pnhz.kz/en/ecology_and_safety/environmental_protection/nailuchshie-dostupnye-tekhniki/

[8] World Bank and U.S. Department of Energy references on used-oil re-refining. Show that re-refining can reduce environmental impacts compared with virgin base-stock production and combustion pathways. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2020/12/f81/Used%20Oil%20Management%20and%20Beneficial%20Reuse%20Options.pdf

Legal Notice & Institutional Disclaimer

This white paper is published for educational, scientific research, and regional policy development purposes. The analysis, observations, and regulatory models contained herein are based on publicly available legal, regulatory, and policy sources and are intended to support discussion and research. This publication does not constitute legal, tax, regulatory, investment, engineering, or professional advice.

Any implementation, adaptation, or reliance upon the concepts discussed in this publication should be independently reviewed under the laws and regulations of the relevant jurisdiction, including applicable environmental, customs, taxation, procurement, competition, and other regulatory requirements.

The Euro-Eurasia Environmental Science & Education Foundation (EEESEF) assumes no responsibility or liability for any legislative, regulatory, commercial, operational, or policy decisions made by third parties based in whole or in part upon this publication.

Sign-Off and Closing Block

APPROVAL FOR PUBLICATION

This white paper has been reviewed and approved for publication by the Euro-Eurasia Environmental Science & Education Foundation (EEESEF).

Gelu Negreanu
Founder & Chairman
Euro-Eurasia Environmental Science & Education Foundation (EEESEF)

Date of Publication: June 5, 2026
Place of Issuance: Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan
EEESEF Company No. 17247709
Registered in England & Wales

EEESEF · Company No. 17247709 · Registered in England & Wales · www.EEESEF.org · research@EEESEF.org